EDITORIAL

The (Heart and) Soul of a Human Creation: Designing Echocardiography for the Big Data Age

Rima Arnaout, MD, Rebecca T. Hahn, MD, Judy W. Hung, MD, Pei-Ni Jone, MD, Steven J. Lester, MD,

Stephen H. Little, MD, G. Burkhard Mackensen, MD, Vera Rigolin, MD, Vandana Sachdev, MD, Muhamed Saric, MD, PhD, Partho P. Sengupta, MD, Jordan B. Strom, MD, MSc, Cynthia C. Taub, MD, Ritu Thamman, MD, and Theodore Abraham, MD, San Francisco, California; New York, New York; Boston, Massachusetts; Chicago, Illinois; Phoenix, Arizona; Houston, Texas; Seattle, Washington; Bethesda, Maryland; Newark, New Jersey; Lebanon, New Hampshire; and Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

In most people's vocabularies, design means veneer.... But to me... design is the fundamental soul of a humanmade creation that ends up expressing itself in successive outer layers of the product or service.

-Steve Jobs

Machine learning (ML) and big data hold the potential to revolutionize cardiovascular imaging.¹ In echocardiography, ML has been used for image enhancement, view classification and guidance, chamber quantification, and even diagnosis. With or without ML, big data analytics can power increasingly scalable outcomes research and quality improvement.

Machine-learning performance is inextricably linked to the data it is trained and tested on.^{1,2} Furthermore, efficient and effective data storage and organization can have significant benefits to hospital systems and patient care.³ However, legacy echocardiography picture archiving and communication systems (Echo-PACS) are not designed to leverage clinical imaging and related metadata for big data analytics. Historically, echocardiogram data design was considered only in as much as it supported day-to-day clinical operations. With increased need to access echocardiographic data quickly and at large scale across institutions, current data design falls unacceptably short.

For example, despite conformance statements, metadata accompanying Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) imaging is not optimally standardized across manufacturers and lacks specific tags that would greatly aid data mining, curation, and preprocessing⁴ (Figure 1). Image deidentification is hampered by burned-in patient health information (PHI). Measurements performed on ultrasound machines are not seamlessly interoperable with Echo-PACS across manufacturers, causing measurements obtained on cart to frequently be lost.⁵ Databases for clinical measurements storage and query are inefficient, outdated, and/or proprietary.

These obstacles make it challenging to perform clinical quality control and education, to mine and harmonize data for research, to connect echocardiographic data to the rest of the electronic health record, and to collaborate across institutions and with industry.³ Even large, well-resourced medical centers face these obstacles; smaller health care entities are doubly affected and are therefore at risk of being excluded from the big data revolution, at great cost to the goal of inclusive, unbiased analytics.

A big data–enabled future for echocardiography requires redesigning clinical data processing and storage at the level of ultrasound hardware and software manufacturers to be inclusive of all health care settings (Figure 1). This will need investment and cooperation across institutions and with industry partners but will offer several benefits. Redesigning ultrasound data will improve the cost-efficiency and quality of patient care as well as lower the activation energy for research. Ultimately, manufacturers who do not solve these problems may become marginalized as users migrate to systems that provide seamless big data functionality.

We ask our industry partners to further standardize DICOM metadata, address burned-in PHI, provide and support better database tools, and offer software development kits for implementation research using ML algorithms in the clinical workflow. Where manufacturers may already offer these capabilities, we ask them to help us implement them at our institutions.

With a proliferation of cardiovascular ultrasound technologies including three-dimensional imaging, intracardiac echocardiography, and therapeutic ultrasound as well as platforms like point-ofcare ultrasound and cloud solutions, ultrasound data will only become more complex. The time to update data design for echocardiography is now.

0894-7317/\$36.00



From the University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California (R.A., T.A.); Columbia University, New York, New York (R.T.H.); Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts (J.W.H.); Lurie Children's Hospital, Chicago, Illinois (P.J.); Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois (P.J., V.R.); Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, Arizona (S.J.L.); Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, Texas (S.H.L.); University of Washington, Seattle, Washington (G.B.M.); National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland (V.S.); New York University Langone Health, New York, New York (M.S.); Rutgers University, Newark, New Jersey (P.P.S.); Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts (J.B.S.); Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, New Hampshire (C.C.T.); and University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (R.T.).

Reprint requests: Rima Arnaout, MD, Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, 521 Parnassus Avenue, Room 6222, San Francisco, California 94143 (E-mail: *rima.arnaout@ucsf.edu*).

Conflicts of Interest: P.P.S. is an advisor and owns stock options in RCE technologies and is an advisor at Echo IQ. T.A. is the recipient of an institutional research grant from Echonous. All other authors have no competing interests.

Copyright 2023 by the American Society of Echocardiography. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.echo.2023.04.016

		SOLUTIONS
	Underutilized DICOM metadata	Standardized DICOM metadata
××	Tags that could greatly facilitate DICOM curation are missing or non-standard	DICOM metadata are optimally utilized for standard, large-scale mining and curation
	Burned-in PHI in Pixel Data	Scalable Removal of Burned-in PHI
× ×		No more PHI and other text from pixel data, and/or offer tool for bulk removal
sR	Poorly Interoperable Data Mapping	Seamless Data Mapping to PACS
ТТ.	Measurements done on US machines often don't make it into EchoPACS	Data mapping is complete and inter- operable across any machine/EchoPACS
	Incomplete Workflows for Testing	Interoperable Workflows @@
	Difficult to perform implementation testing of ML within the clinical workflow	Software development kits allow ML (CC) implementation and collaboration

Figure 1 Improving speed, quality, and efficiency of echocardiographic data can improve big data research, testing, collaboration, and patient care.

REFERENCES

- Quer G, Arnaout R, Henne M, et al. Machine learning and the future of cardiovascular care: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021; 77:300-13.
- Chinn E, Arora R, Arnaout R, et al. ENRICHing medical imaging training sets enables more efficient machine learning. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2023;ocad055.
- Kim SH, Song H. How digital transformation can improve hospitals' operational decisions. Harvard Business Review [Internet]; 2022. https://hbr.

org/2022/01/how-digital-transformation-can-improve-hospitals-operation al-decisions. Accessed April 12, 2023.

- 4. Hirsch JD, Siegel EL, Balasubramanian S, et al. We built this house; it's time to move in: leveraging existing DICOM structure to more completely utilize readily available detailed contrast administration information. J Digit Imaging 2015;28:407-11.
- Interoperability: how to measure data interoperability and communication across health systems | HIMSS [internet]; 2021. https://www.himss.org/ resources/interoperability-how-measure-data-interoperability-and-commu nication-across-health. Accessed April 12, 2023.

